Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ	App	plication No.	Applicant(s)
	09/8	869,136	FRASER ET AL.
LAdiiiiiGi-iiiiidated iiitei View Odiiiii	Exa	aminer	Art Unit
·	N. 1	M. Minnifield	1645
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) <u>N. M. Minnifield</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>Yuan-Kai Tsao, 34053</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 March 2005	Ti	me:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: none.			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: none			
Claims discussed: none			
Prior art documents discussed: none .			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicants' representative indicated that Applicants intended for the application to go abandoned; Applicants did not respond to the Office Action mailed 8/24/04.			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
Myalennyherburul PRIMARY EXAMENTER			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		